



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/029,847 12/31/2001		Frank E. Fruth	TI-33130 (1.124US)	5311	
23494 75	590 04/21/2006	EXAMINER			
TEXAS INST	RUMENTS INCORPOR	BURLESON, MICHAEL L			
P O BOX 6554 DALLAS, TX		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, IX 13203			2625		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. App		Applicant(s)	pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/029,847		FRUTH, FRANK E.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael Bu	rleson	2626				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Faild Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF TIME MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION at, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Fe	ebruary 200	6					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	,	, .,					
· _								
الحصار -	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
'=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
	ion Papers		•					
_	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				10 102.			
_	-		25 LLO O S 440(-)	. (d) (6)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)		te have heen	received					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				l Stage			
	application from the International Bureau			od III tillo i tational	· Clago			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	-	* **	ed.				
			,					
/								
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6)					

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-9, filed2/03/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 and 6 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ono et al. US 2002/0114017.
- 2. Applicant states that the prior art reference of Garakani et al. US 2002/0065168 fails to teach or suggest transitioning into facsimile processing mode. Examiner agrees with Applicant. Ono et al. teaches of processing facsimile signals (page 1, paragraph 0002), which suggests a facsimile processing mode. Applicant also states that the prior art reference of Garakani et al. fails to teach or suggest "and if the CM call function is the facsimile CM signal, preparing the originating modem to support a V.34 facsimile protocol" and "transitioning said originating gateway to the V.34 facsimile relay processing mode of operation when a facsimile relay indication is received from said answering modem". Examiner agrees with Applicant. Ono et al. teaches of using a V.34 conventional modem when a using a V.8 signal (page 8, paragraph 0107) and transitioning to a V.34 communication when a fax signal is detected on the calling side (page 8, paragraph 0107), which would suggest that "if the CM call function is the facsimile CM signal, preparing the originating modem to support a V.34 facsimile relay protocol" and "transitioning said originating gateway to the V.34 facsimile relay

Application/Control Number: 10/029,847 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

processing mode of operation when a facsimile relay indication is received from said answering modem". Claims1-4 and 6 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claim 6, Claim 6 recites executing the steps of a claim 11 that does not exist.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ono et al. US 2002/0114017.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,847

Art Unit: 2626

8. Regarding claim 1, Ono et al. discloses a method of discriminating voice, data and facsimile calls communicated through a voice-over-packet network (page 4,paragraph 0060 and page 7,paragraph 0075 and page 8, paragraphs 0097,0098 and 0104). He also discloses identifying the existence of an answer signal (ANS) or a modified answer signal (ANSam) communicated between an answering modem and an originating modem over said packet (page 8, paragraphs 0098 and 0104).

Page 4

- 9. Regarding claim 2, Ono et al. discloses of generating an ANS tone according to the protocols of said originating modem, using an originating-side gateway, when said existence of said ANS signal is identified by said answering-side gateway; generating an ANSam tone according to the protocols of said originating mode, using said originating-side gateway, when said existence of said ANSam signal is identified by said answering-side gateway (page 8,paragraph 0107).
- 10. Regarding claim 3, Ono et al. discloses enabling a V.8 call menu signal (CM) detector after said existence of either of said ANS or ANSam signals is identified by said originating gateway (page 4,paragraph 0060). Ono et al. discloses transitioning said originating gateway from a voice mode of operation to a G.711 pass-through mode of operation after said existence of either of said ANS or ANSam signals is identified by said originating gateway (page 6,paragraph 0069). Ono et al. discloses if the originating gateway detects a V.8 CM signal prior to expiration of the ANS or ANSam signals, then identifying, by the originating gateway, the CM call function as either a data modem CM signal from the originating modem or a facsimile CM signal from the originating modem (page 4,paragraph 0060 and 0061). Ono et al. discloses identifying, by the originating

gateway, the CM call function as either a data modem CM signal from the originating modem or a facsimile CM signal from the originating modem (page 4,paragraph 0061). Ono et al. discloses if the CM call function is the data modem CM signal, transitioning the originating gateway into an LLMR processing state if the CM call function is the data modem CM signal; and if the CM call function is the facsimile CM signal, preparing the originating modem to support a V.34 facsimile protocol and transitioning the originating gateway to support the V.34 facsimile protocol in one of the G.711 pass-through mode and a V.34 facsimile relay mode (page 4,paragraph 0061,0062 and page 6,paragraph 0068 and 0069 and page 8, paragraph 0107).

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. US 2002/0114017 in view of Garakani et al. US 2002/0064168.
- 1. Regarding claim 4, Ono et al. discloses the steps of if the originating gateway detects a V.8 CM signal subsequent to expiration of the ANS or ANSam signals (page 4,paragraph 0060). Ono et al. discloses transitioning said originating gateway to the V.34 facsimile relay processing mode of operation when a facsimile relay indication is

received from said answering modem over said packet network (page 8, paragraph 0107).

2. Ono et al. fails to teach of identifying, by the originating gateway, the termination of the communication of said ANS or said ANSam signal; terminating said generation of said ANS or ANSam tone when said termination of the communication of said ANS or said ANSam signal is identified; and disabling said CM detector when said termination of the communication of said ANS or said ANSam signal is identified

Page 6

3. Garakani et al. discloses identifying, by the originating gateway, the termination of the communication of said ANS or said ANSam signal; terminating said generation of said ANS or ANSam tone when said termination of the communication of said ANS or said ANSam signal is identified; and disabling said CM detector when said termination of the communication of said ANS or said ANSam signal is identified (page 4,paragraph 0063,page 5,paragraph 0068 and 0070).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Ono et al. wherein Ono et al. method is applied to detecting a V.8 CM signal subsequent to expiration of the ANS or ANSam signals and transitioning the original gateway to the V.34 facsimile relay processing mode of operation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Ono et al. by the teaching of Garakani et al. in order to transition to a facsimile relay processing mode of operation.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,847

Art Unit: 2626

13. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. US 2002/0114017 in view of Olafsson et al. US 6768791.

Page 7

- 4. Ono et al. discloses discriminating voice, data, and facsimile calls communicated through a voice-over-packet network, comprising the steps of: identifying any one of an answer signal (ANS), a modified answer signal (ANSam), a V.8bis Cre/Mre tone or V.21 flags communicated between an answering modem and an originating modem, using an answering-side gateway that is capable of identifying each of said ANS signal, said ANSam signal, said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone, and said V.21 flags with said answering-side gateway, converting said identified ANS signal, ANSam signal, V.8bis Cre/Mre tone or V.21 flags to a format that may be conveyed over said packet network to said originating modem via an originating-side gateway (page 8, paragraphs 0098 0104).
- 5. Ono et al. fails to disclose suppressing a voice path to said packet network, using said answering gateway, when said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone is identified; determining when said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone communication between said answering modem and said originating modem terminates; re-establishing said voice path when said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone terminates; suppressing said voice path to said packet network, using said answering gateway, when said ANS signal or said ANSam signal is detected; transitioning said answering gateway to a G.711 pass-through mode of operation when said ANS signal or said ANSam signal is detected; transitioning said answering gateway to an LLMR processing mode of operation when said LLMR indication is received from the originating-side gateway; transitioning said answering gateway to a V.34 facsimile

processing mode of operation when said V.34 facsimile relay indication is received from the originating-side gateway; re-establishing said voice path to said packet network. using said answering gateway, when a termination of the communication of either of said ANS or ANSam signals occurs and after executing the steps of claim 11. transitioning said answering gateway to a facsimile relay processing mode of operation when said V.21 flags are identified.

Page 8

6. Olafsson et al. discloses suppressing a voice path to said packet network, using said answering gateway, when said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone is identified; determining when said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone communication between said answering modem and said originating modem terminates; re-establishing said voice path when said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone terminates; suppressing said voice path to said packet network, using said answering gateway, when said ANS signal or said ANSam signal is detected: transitioning said answering gateway to a G.711 pass-through mode of operation when said ANS signal or said ANSam signal is detected; transitioning said answering gateway to an LLMR processing mode of operation when said LLMR indication is received from the originating-side gateway; transitioning said answering gateway to a V.34 facsimile processing mode of operation when said V.34 facsimile relay indication is received from the originating-side gateway; re-establishing said voice path to said packet network, using said answering gateway, when a termination of the communication of either of said ANS or ANSam signals occurs and after executing the steps of claim 11, transitioning said answering gateway to a facsimile relay processing mode of operation when said V.21 flags are identified (column 11, lines 48-67 and column 14, lines 20-40).

Art Unit: 2626

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Ono et al. wherein Ono et al. method is applied to identifying each of said ANS signal, said ANSam signal, said V.8bis Cre/Mre tone, and said V.21 flags. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Ono et al. by the teaching of Garakani et al. in order to properly process a signal according to the type of signal that is detected.

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Burleson whose telephone number is 571-272-7460. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,847 Page 10

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Burleson

Patent Examiner

April 16, 2006

DAVID MOORE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600